

I am totally AGAINST any changes that would allow for broader ownership of newspaper, television, and radio by the huge conglomerates out there. I think there should be more restrictions, not fewer.

We already suffer biased reporting at the current levels of ownership -- what do we get if one company owns *everything* in a given market?

One downside is LOCAL BUSINESSES everywhere will be hurt. Every mom & pop shop in the country would be forced to do business with ONE company if they want to run ads in local media. Just how fair do you think the pricing for those ads would be if the same company owned all those outlets?

And then, politically speaking, it would be the end of our system of government. I am not talking about political ads, but rather the bias of the owners of the media companies responsible for the reporting that comes into every person's home.

You are asking for a system where EVERY newspaper, radio station and TV station would become the kind of insane joke Fox News is on cable. It would be the end of fair and impartial journalism.

We would be forced to hear whatever one massive company considers the "truth."

We'd have our very own Pravda... Well, maybe two or three, but the effects would be the same -- we would all be forced to hear ONLY what these few companies want us to hear.

I am against these proposed changes.

rks